

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/916,164

Applicant(s)

SABDE ET AL.

Examiner

Sylvia R MacArthur

Art Unit

1763

All Participants:

(1) Sylvia R MacArthur.

(2) Steven Arterberry.

Status of Application: First action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 November 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 60 and 61

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,124,207

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner MacArthur contacted Mr. Arterberry to inform him that claim 60 was allowable as the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a non-abrasive lubricating planarizing solution having a viscosity of at least 4-100 cP.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)